



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/517,974		03/03/2000	Steven V. Larson	13661-107	5719	
32300	7590	05/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BRIGGS A	ND MO	RGAN P.A.				
2200 IDS CENTER						
80 SOUTH 8TH ST				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	N 55402				

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. LARSON, STEVEN V. Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 09/517.974 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner **Art Unit** Gregory J. Strimbu 3634 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 25 April 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.** The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, 2. canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent 4. claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification

The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))

The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).

as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to

7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).

the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).

8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).

9. The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).

10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The appeal brief filed April 25, 2006 is defective because it fails to include the status of claim 18 in the status of the claims section. Additionally, the brief fails to include the status of the after final amendment of March 2, 2006. The brief is also defecting because the summary of the claimed subject matter is insufficient. The summary of the claimed subject matter should include a "mapping" of each independent claim. The mapping should include each element recited in the claim followed by its drawing reference character and by the identification of the portion of the specification by page and line number that describes that element. The arguments for points D, F, and H are insufficient because the applicant has presented no specific reasons why claims 5, 9-12 and 15 are separately patentable. Moreover, the claims appendix should not include claim status identifiers and should only include those claims that are on appeal.

GREGORY J. STRIMBU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Part of Paper No. 20060502